Accepted Manuscript

Title: Rectal Shaving Using Plasma Energy in Deep Infiltrating Endometriosis of the Rectum: Four Years of Experience

Author: Noémie Marty, Salma Touleimat, Salwa Moatassim-Drissa, Jenny Claude Millochau, Aurélie Vallee, Emanuela Stochino Loi, Eulalie Desnyder, Horace Roman

PII: S1553-4650(17)30378-3

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2017.06.019

Reference: JMIG 3179

To appear in: The Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology

Received date: 5-5-2017 Revised date: 12-6-2017 Accepted date: 23-6-2017



Please cite this article as: Noémie Marty, Salma Touleimat, Salwa Moatassim-Drissa, Jenny Claude Millochau, Aurélie Vallee, Emanuela Stochino Loi, Eulalie Desnyder, Horace Roman, Rectal Shaving Using Plasma Energy in Deep Infiltrating Endometriosis of the Rectum: Four Years of Experience, *The Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2017.06.019.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Rectal shaving using plasma energy in deep infiltrating endometriosis of the rectum:
2	four years of experience
3	Noémie MARTY, MD, Salma TOULEIMAT, MD, Salwa MOATASSIM-DRISSA, MD,
4	Jenny Claude MILLOCHAU, MD, Aurélie VALLEE, MD, Emanuela STOCHINO LOI, MD,
5	Eulalie DESNYDER, MD, Horace ROMAN, MD, PhD,
6	Expert Center in the Diagnosis and Multidisciplinary Management of Endometriosis, Rouen
7 8 9	University Hospital, 76031, Rouen, France
11	Correspondence: Horace Roman, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Rouen
12	University Hospital-Charles Nicolle, 1 rue de Germont, 76031 Rouen, France,
13	Tel: (33) 232 888 754; Fax: (33) 235 981 149; Email: horace.roman@gmail.com
14	
15	Conflict of Interest/Disclosure Statement: No specific financial support was received for
16	this study. Horace Roman received personal fees for participation in masterclasses organized
17	by PlasmaSurgical Inc. The CIRENDO survey receives grant support from Rouen, Lille, Caen
18	and Amiens University Hospital, France (the G4 Group), and the Association of
19	Endometriosis Surgeons ROUENDOMETRIOSE, France.
20	
21	Précis:
22	Rectal shaving using plasma energy in deep infiltrating endometriosis of the rectum allows
23	for a low rate of post-operative complications with good functional digestive outcomes.
24	
25	

- 26 Abstract
- 27 **Study Objective:** To evaluate postoperative complications, digestive function and fertility
- 28 outcomes in patients managed by rectal shaving using plasma energy in deep infiltrating
- 29 endometriosis of the rectum.
- 30 **Design:** A single center retrospective cohort study using data prospectively recorded,
- 31 including patients managed from December 2012 to December 2016.
- 32 **Design classification:** Canadian Task Force classification II-2.
- 33 **Settings:** Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics of Rouen University Hospital (France).
- 34 **Patients:** One hundred and ten patients.
- 35 **Interventions:** Laparoscopic rectal shaving using plasma energy.
- 36 Measurements and Main Results: Clinical history, baseline symptoms, preoperative
- 37 assessment, intraoperative findings and postoperative outcomes were prospectively recorded.
- Follow-up evaluations were performed at one and three years. Mean age of patients was $37 \pm$
- 39 6.4 years. Most patients had rectal nodules infiltrating either the mid or upper rectum and
- 40 measuring less than 3 cm in length. No intraoperative complications were recorded. One
- 41 patient with multiple previous surgical procedures presented a postoperative recto-vaginal
- 42 fistula (0.9%). Another patient presented a postoperative recto-uterine fistula treated
- exclusively by antibiotics. Two patients (1.8%) had bladder atony requiring >=3 weeks of
- daily self-catheterization. The number of patients with Clavien-Dindo I, II, IIIa, IIIb and IVa
- 45 complications was 4 (3.6%), 12 (10.9%), 1 (0.9%), 5 (4.5%) and 1 (0.9%) respectively.
- 46 Conversely, 103 patients (93.6%) were free of severe complication. Significant improvement
- 47 in constipation and gastrointestinal quality of life was recorded 1 and 3 years postoperatively.
- Thirty-two patients attempted pregnancy after surgery (29.1%), 17 of whom became pregnant
- 49 (53.1%).

50	Conclusions:	Rectal	shaving	using	plasma	energy	allows	for	a low	rate o	f post-o	perative
----	---------------------	--------	---------	-------	--------	--------	--------	-----	-------	--------	----------	----------

51 complications with good digestive function and fertility outcomes and seems suitable in

selected women with symptomatic rectal endometriosis.

53 **Keywords:** Shaving; rectal endometriosis; plasma energy; ablation.

Accepted Manuscripe

Introduction

Deep infiltrating endometriosis of the rectum (DIER) is responsible for various symptoms, such as catamenial diarrhea, constipation, rectorrhage, bloating and defecation pain. Severe complications include progressive lumen obstruction that can lead to colorectal sub-occlusion or occlusion. In the review of Mueleman *et al.*, more than 70% of patients presenting DIER were managed by colorectal resection (1), which can negatively impact postoperative rectal function. However, in a more recent survey enrolling patients managed for colorectal endometriosis in France in 2015, almost half (48.1%) were treated by rectal shaving (2). This conservative procedure, also known as partial full thickness excision, involves the removal of the endometriosis nodule from the rectal wall without opening the lumen (3). As this technique respects the rectal wall, it results in a lower rate of post-operative complications as digestive fistulae (2). Since 2013, we have introduced a variant of rectal shaving using plasma energy (4), which is comparable to that reported by Donnez *et al.* employing the CO2 laser (5). To assess our preliminary results we performed this retrospective cohort study using prospectively recorded data in patients managed during 48 consecutive months in our department for deep endometriosis infiltrating the rectum.

We included consecutive patients managed by plasma energy rectal shaving for DIER

Materials and methods

79 **Patients**

78

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

in the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics of Rouen University Hospital (France) from December 2012 to December 2016. Inclusion criteria were DIER revealed by clinical examination, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and/or endorectal ultrasound and computed tomography-based virtual colonoscopy, and confirmed intraoperatively. Rectal infiltration was up to 15 cm above the anus and involved at least the muscular layer. Patients requiring associated segmental resection procedures on sigmoid colon, small bowel or cecum were excluded. We excluded the patients managed by rectal shaving with monopolar scissors using a laparoscopic approach robotic assistance in 2013 and 2014 (6). We also excluded some patients managed by rectal shaving using other energies mainly because PlasmaJet generator was being used in another operative room or the devices were not promptly available during the surgery. All the patients were placed on continuous hormonal therapy (contraceptive pills, progestin or GnRH analogs) before the surgery, and surgical treatment was only proposed in those women with incomplete relief of symptoms. Clinical history, baseline symptoms, preoperative assessment, intraoperative findings and postoperative outcomes were prospectively recorded in the CIRENDO database (the North-West Inter Regional Female Cohort for Patients with Endometriosis, NCT 02294825). CIRENDO is a prospective cohort financed by the G4 Group (the University Hospitals of Rouen, Lille, Amiens, and Caen, France), receiving funds from ROUENDOMETRIOSE association, and coordinated by one of the authors (H.R.). Patients filled self-questionnaires before surgery and follow-up evaluations were performed by a clinical research technician at one and three years after surgery. The surgeon filled in a specific questionnaire on

intraoperative findings and gave an exhaustive description of surgical procedures. Prospective data recording and analysis were approved by the French authorities CNIL (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés, the French data protection commission) and CCTIRS (Comité Consultatif pour le Traitement de l'Information en matière de Recherche dans le domaine de la Santé, the advisory committee on information technology in healthcare research).

Technique

Patients are proposed rectal shaving, rather than disc excision or colorectal resection, based on their age and parity, symptoms and nodule localization. The fact that shaving might be less complete than disc excision or colorectal resection for the removal of microscopic endometriosis foci is also taken into account(7). In older patients and in those with no pregnancy intention, rectal shaving is performed systematically as the risk of recurrence is expected to be very low. Rectal shaving is also preferred in some women whose digestive symptoms are completely relieved preoperatively by therapeutic amenorrhea, independently of nodule features. In patients with low and mid rectal nodules, in whom low colorectal resection could lead to unfavorable postoperative functional outcomes, rectal shaving is considered when rectal wall involvement is superficial (8). The size of rectal nodule and the infiltration depth were not major criteria in our choice, as we have experience in saving rectal nodules as large as 4 cm, this relieving rectal stenosis and rendering the mobility of the rectum. In patients with multiple colorectal nodules, we would have suggested shaving on the rectum along with segmental resection or disc excision of the sigmoid colon, however those patients were excluded from this case-series.

The procedure of rectal shaving using PlasmaJet® device (Plasma Surgical, Inc., Roswell, GA, USA) was introduced in 2012 and was based on the specific properties of this energy: absence of lateral thermal spread around the plasma jet ensuring safe dissection close

to the rectal wall, precise ablative properties allowing in situ ablation of rectal endometriosis implants, and kinetic energy enhancing the dissection of sub-peritoneal spaces (4).

For the purposes of this present study, pre-operative assessment including clinical examination, MRI, endorectal ultrasound and/or computed tomography-based virtual colonoscopy, was performed exclusively by experienced operators (9). Rectal shaving was performed by a senior gynecologic surgeon with experience in deep endometriosis surgery. Briefly, plasma energy was used to dissect pelvic adhesions, open rectovaginal space, resect the nodule along with infiltrations of uterosacral ligaments and vagina, when required, and to shave the rectal wall down to the deep muscular layer as previously described in two video articles (4) (10).

The procedure starts by opening deep sub-peritoneal spaces close to the lateral faces of the rectum. This step is made possible by the absence of lateral thermal diffusion induced by plasma energy and is enhanced by the kinetic energy of the plasma beam that opens the sub-peritoneal spaces up to the healthy rectovaginal space located below the nodule. Then, rectal shaving is performed exclusively using the PlasmaJet®. The plasma beam is oriented tangentially to the surface of the rectum, in cutting mode set at 40-50 Ultra, to paint the rectal surface in a frontal plane. Dissection is made into the thickness of the rectal wall and the nodule is dissected away from the rectal wall, which is progressively mobilized upward. This step is performed under direct visual control, as the tip of the handpiece does not hide the target tissue unlike thermofusion or ultrasonic probes.

Although the rectum is generally completely freed, in patients with large rectal nodules the shaved area of the anterior rectal wall may still be infiltrated by implants of deep endometriosis, as it may have appeared hollow, rigid and thickened under palpation with a laparoscopic probe. In these patients, shaving can be carried out using the ablative property of the PlasmaJet® to treat fibrotic lesions *in situ*. Ablation is performed by painting the

infiltrated rectal surface slowly with the plasma beam held at a distance of 5 mm, and is based on the property of the PlasmaJet® which induces only shallow necrosis of approximately 0.2-0.5 mm in depth. The goal of rectal ablation is to relieve stenosis in patients with large nodules. In rare cases, when the rectal lumen is opened or when rectal mucosa is widely visible, the surgeon resected the shaved area using a transanal stapler rather than covering it by muscular stitches. For the purposes of the present study, these procedures were recorded as disc excision and as a result they were not included. Discontinuous stoma has never been performed, except in one woman with postoperative rectovaginal fistula in whom stoma was carried out during a secondary emergency procedure. Postoperative treatment by continuous contraceptive pill intake until menopause was systematically recommended for women who were not intending to conceive in order to reduce the overall risk of pelvic endometriosis recurrences.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata 9.0 software (Stat Corporation, Lakeway Drive, TX, USA). Qualitative variables were reported as number (percentage) and continuous variables as mean (SD) or median (range). Comparison of continuous variables was carried out using the Wilcoxon test, and that of qualitative variables using the Fischer exact test.

Results

One hundred and ten patients were included between December 2012 and December 2016. All patients were managed laparoscopically by rectal shaving using plasma energy. They were 37 (±6.4) years old, 32 of them (29.1%) had preoperative pregnancy intention and 44 (40.0%) had previous documented infertility (Table 1). Fifty-six (50.9%) and 15 (13.6%)

patients	respectively	had	undergone	previous	gynecologic	lapar oscopy	and	previous	open
surgery.									

Intraoperatively, exclusive laparoscopic route was used in 100 (90.9%) patients (Table 2) and a combined vaginal-laparoscopic procedure was performed in 9 patients due to large vaginal infiltration by the nodule (11). Most patients had rectal nodules infiltrating either the mid or upper rectum and measuring less than 3 cm in length. No intraoperative complications were recorded.

One postoperative recto-vaginal fistula was recorded in our series (0.9%). The patient was 36-years-old, nulliparous and had no pregnancy intention. She was followed for Steinert disease and had previously undergone 2 laparoscopies for deep and ovarian endometriosis. The procedure, which was not considered as technically difficult, included superficial rectal shaving along with extensive adhesiolysis. Twenty-one days after the procedure, she was rehospitalized with fever due to a pelvic abscess and a fistula on the upper rectum. We performed an emergency colostomy, and the patient was then transferred to the intensive care unit due to sepsis. Due to the lack of spontaneous closure of the fistula, we performed colorectal resection, which was complicated by stenosis of the anastomosis requiring 3 endoscopic dilation procedures. A 38-year-old patient was managed for frozen pelvis using rectal shaving, and was re-hospitalized at day 9 after surgery due to fever. Computed tomography revealed recto-uterine fistula without pelvic abscess. Evolution was favorable with antibiotic treatment (cefalosporins and metronidazol). Further follow-up computed tomography revealed spontaneous healing of the fistula.

Two patients (1.8%) had bladder atony requiring >=3 weeks of daily catheterization. The number of patients with Clavien-Dindo I, II, IIIa, IIIb and IVa complications was respectively 4 (3.6%), 12 (10.9%), 1 (0.9%), 5 (4.5%) and 1 (0.9%) (any one patient could be recorded as having more than one complication). Most Clavien-Dindo 3b complications were

pelvic hematomas with presumption of infection, which were managed by a second laparoscopy. Conversely, 103 patients (93.6%) were free of severe complication (Table 3).

Three patients underwent second surgery during follow-up. One 40-year-old patient with very low ovarian reserve required rectal shaving and endometrioma drainage after which she attempted spontaneous conception for 6 to 9 months (she declined ART management); as no pregnancy occurred, she underwent colorectal resection one year after due to incomplete relief of digestive complaints. Two other patients with a large adenomyotic uterus underwent second surgery for total hysterectomy due to residual pelvic pain and deep dyspareunia, with no argument for rectal recurrence.

The assessment of digestive function is presented in Table 4, with comparison between baseline, 1-year and 3-year postoperative values of gastrointestinal scores. Significant improvement in constipation and gastrointestinal quality of life was recorded postoperatively. Thirty-two patients (29.1%) had postoperative pregnancy intention and 17 (53.1%) became pregnant after surgery (Table 5). The delay between surgery and pregnancy was 11.4±7.4 months.

Discussion

We report a large series of consecutive patients managed by rectal shaving using plasma energy. Our results show a low rate of postoperative complications with an improvement indigestive function and satisfactory fertility outcomes. In our opinion, rectal shaving is mainly suitable in patients with a lower risk of postoperative recurrence. We recommend rectal shaving in older women, who no longer intend to get pregnant, or in women presenting small/mid rectal nodules infiltrating the muscular layer only and free of advanced stenosis of rectal lumen, which can be completely removed without opening the bowel.

The main advantage of rectal shaving is the treatment of rectal infiltration without opening the rectal wall and suturing it. Avoiding rectal opening reasonably decreases the risk of postoperative complications related to sutures of the mid and lower rectum, such as rectovaginal fistulae or rectal suture leakage (2). Recent data suggest that in women with DIER, pursuing a policy that promotes rectum conservation would lead to an improvement in postoperative digestive functional outcomes (7) (9). This is probably be related to several factors such as absence of rectal denervation, conservation of rectal volume and reduced risk of postoperative stenosis at the level of colorectal anastomosis. These arguments, associated with a lower rate of immediate complications, have led us to recommend rectal shaving as the first line procedure in the management of DIER, whenever it is technically feasible.

The strengths of our study are the prospective recording of patients' data by a clinical researcher dedicated to the follow-up of the cohort, which may explain the low rate of patients lost to follow-up. In addition, the same surgical technique was used in all patients. Furthermore, the assessment of pre and postoperative digestive function was based on widely used standardized gastrointestinal questionnaires.

Our study also presents several weaknesses. One of them is the absence of a control group, which would allow for comparison with other techniques. Our study only included patients managed by rectal shaving using plasma energy, so direct comparison of our results with those observed in our other series of patients managed by colorectal resection would be inappropriate, because this latter group includes both women with large nodules of the upper rectum and circumferential endometriosis of the mid and lower rectum. Consequently, baseline characteristics and nodule features are different and prevent direct comparison between surgical procedures.

In our series, rectal shaving was associated to resections of uterine torus, vagina, uterosacral ligaments, or bladder. Thus, it is impossible to state that rectal shaving precisely

relieved pelvic pain symptoms. To accurately characterize the outcomes directly related to rectal shaving, we used standardized gastrointestinal questionnaires to assess the improvement of digestive complaints.

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

PlasmaJet is a surgical device employing plasma energy. It provides an energy jet that dissipates rapidly upon contact with tissues and is responsible for limited additional thermal spread, ensuring safe dissection close to the rectal wall. The depth of necrosis averages 0.5 mm (12). This property makes it suitable for rectal shaving where the aim is to remove the nodule without opening the rectal lumen. No electricity is delivered to the patient's tissue, which prevents the risk of secondary burns. In addition, the kinetic energy of the plasma jet enhances the dissection of deep subperitoneal spaces. When the jet is applied tangentially onto the rectal wall, it can be used as a virtual blade thanks to the absence of lateral thermal spread. However, plasma energy is a new device, which requires continuous thorough evaluation utilizing standardized steps, through: first, technical reports concerning the use of the device in specific procedures; second, case-series of patients managed using the device which focus on overall outcomes, benefits and complications; third, two arm studies, where the device is compared to other techniques routinely used in our daily practice; fourth, randomized trial where sample size is estimated on the basis of precedent comparative studies. To date, only the first 2 steps have been utilized with regard to use of plasma energy in rectal shaving, thus it is to early to bravely state any superiority of our technique over other procedures.

Although microscopically incomplete in most cases, rectal shaving is sufficient to achieve considerable improvement in digestive symptoms in most patients. In addition, as there is a low risk of unfavorable postoperative functional outcomes, such as low anterior rectal resection syndrome, patients managed by rectal shaving are less likely to have postoperative impairment of digestive function. For these reasons, rectal shaving is suitable in

patients whose nodule features and localization are associated with a high risk of unfavorable postoperative functional outcomes, or in those with significant improvement in rectal function under therapeutic amenorrhea (in these latter cases, surgery may still be required due to associated complaints such as deep dyspareunia or pelvic pain).

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

As expected, the recurrence rate may be higher after rectal shaving than after disc excision or colorectal resection (1) (13) (7) (14). Recurrence could be explained by the probability of leaving behind microscopic foci of endometriosis that may develop after surgery (15) (16). However, residual foci of endometriosis may also be found on the bowel after disc excision and colorectal resection (17) (18) (19). To reduce the risk of recurrence, we routinely recommend continuous amenorrhea after surgery using either progestin or contraceptive pills (20). Furthermore, in our series rectal shaving was mainly performed in women without pregnancy intention, in whom the risk of recurrence was expected to be low. This choice explains why patients included in this series were older than those managed by disc excision (21) or segmental colorectal resection, and were more likely to undergo hysterectomy for adenomyosis. However, recurrence does not systematically require second surgery and second surgery does not systematically employ colorectal resection. On the basis of our own experience, we previously estimated that we would have needed to perform 12 colorectal resections instead of rectal shaving to avoid recurrence in only 1 patient (13). Furthermore, we would have had to carry out 25 colorectal resections to avoid the 1 colorectal resection which was necessary due to recurrence after rectal shaving. When the risk of immediate postoperative complications and functional outcomes are considered together, the price to pay to avoid recurrence might be too high.

Our rectal fistula rate is comparable to the 1.3% reported by the FRIENDS study (2). Although the rate of fistulae may appear low, it must be emphasized that this complication occurring after shaving might be more severe for the following reasons: i) rectal fistula after

shaving is unexpected, as the surgeon believes that the deep muscular layer has been maintained and the risk of fistula has been avoided, ii) delayed fistula may occur several days or weeks later, due to necrosis induced by thermal spread, when the patient has already recovered, and iii) surgeons never perform discontinuous stoma to protect the rectal shaved area, thus rectal fistula may be complicated by peritonitis and sepsis (2). For these reasons, we recommend removing the shaved area by disc excision instead of rectal shaving alone in circumstances where nodule excision widely exposes rectal mucosa.

The pregnancy rate observed in our present series was inferior to that reported in our previous studies (22) (23) (13) (24). Several factors may explain this difference: women undergoing shaving are usually older, and several of them had attempted spontaneous conception for several months despite low ovarian reserve.

It is our policy to recommend postoperative medical treatment after the surgery, in order to reduce the risk of recurrences, unless the patient desires pregnancy. To date, strong evidence exists about the reduction of pain and endometriotic lesion recurrences in women with postoperative amenorrhea (20,25). On the other hand, all the patients benefited from medical therapy in continuous intake (contraceptive pills, progestin or GnRH analogs) before the surgery, and surgical treatment was only proposed in those women with incomplete relief of presenting symptoms. Thus, it is most likely that any noted difference between baseline and postoperative symptoms would be due to the surgical management..

Numerous authors compared the outcomes of patients managed by either shaving or colorectal resection. The French coloRectal Infiltrating ENDometriosis Study group recently reported a survey enrolling 1,135 patients managed for colorectal endometriosis in France in 2015 (2), and observed that the risk of rectovaginal fistula was significantly lower in patients managed by shaving (1.3, 3.6 and 3.9% after shaving, disc excision and segmental resection respectively). Similar findings were reported by Mohr et al, who recorded

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

lower rates of postoperative complications in patients managed by shaving compared to those managed by disc excision or colorectal resection (26). Kondo et al recorded lower complications rate in 183 patients managed by shaving (6.7%) when compared to 25 patients undergoing colorectal resection (24%) (27). Afors et al reported a series of 92 women managed by shaving, disc excision or segmental resection for bowel endometriosis and observed higher rates of symptom recurrence and reintervention in the shaving group (28). Although the length of the follow up in their series was comparable to ours, their rate of reinterventions for DIER recurrences after shaving was high (27.6%). This large difference in deep endometriosis recurrences rate is astonishing and can be explained by various factors: i) the use of term "shaving" could be applied to different surgical procedures; ii) the selection of patient undergoing shaving could be different between the two centers; iii) the lack of postoperative medical treatment could lead to deep endometriosis recurrences in patients who could have benefited from induced amenorrhea. Furthermore, we recently published a study comparing women managed by either shaving or colorectal resection, with postoperative follow up of 5 to 10 years, that was obviously longer than that of patients of Afors et al (7). Despite this excess of the lapse of time at risk of recurrences, only 8% of patients received a new surgery for bowel recurrences after shaving, among which half received another shaving and only half ultimately had a colorectal resection. However, when compared to women managed by colorectal resection, those treated by shaving had better values of gastrointestional quality of life questionnaires and were more likely to recommend the surgery to a friend suffering from the same disease. On the basis of these data, we estimate that, in order to prevent a recurrence risk of 8% at 75 months after conservative surgery, 12 patients should receive colorectal resection instead of the shaving. Furthermore, to prevent the risk of recurrences requiring a colorectal resection in one patient, 25 patients should undergo colorectal resection instead of shaving (7). However, the major weakness of comparative

348	studies mentioned above is of concern about the enrollment of women with overall less severe
349	disease in patients managed by shaving, which may potentially jeopardize outcomes
350	assessment. A more definitive answer should be provided by the ENDORE randomized trial
351	comparing colorectal resection to conservative surgery in DIER, which final report will soon
352	be available within the next few months.
353	In conclusion, our study suggests that rectal shaving allowed for a low rate of post-
354	operative complications with good functional digestive outcomes and seems suitable in
355	women with symptomatic rectal endometriosis and a presumed low risk of postoperative
356	recurrence.
357	
358	
359	
360	
361	
362	Acknowledgements: To Miss Amélie Bréant, for her skilled management of the
363	CIRENDO database, and Nikki Sabourin-Gibbs, Rouen University Hospital, for her help in
364	editing the manuscript.
365	Conflicts of interests: Horace Roman received personal fees for participation in
366	masterclasses organized by PlasmaSurgical Inc. Other authors have no conflicts of interests.
367	Funding: The CIRENDO survey receives grant support from - Rouen, Lille, Caen and
368	Amiens University Hospital, France (the G4 Group), and the association of Endometriosis
369	Surgeons ROUENDOMETRIOSE, France.
370	
371	
372	

373 References

- 374 Meuleman C, Tomassetti C, D'Hoore A, Van Cleynenbreugel B, Penninckx F,
- 375 Vergote I, et al. Surgical treatment of deeply infiltrating endometriosis with colorectal
- 376 involvement. Hum Reprod Update. 2011 Jun;17(3):311-26.
- 377 Roman H. A national snapshot of the surgical management of deep infiltrating
- 378 endometriosis of the rectum and colon in France in 2015: A multicenter series of 1135 cases.
- 379 J Gynecol Obstet Hum Reprod [Internet]. [cited 2017 Feb 28]; Available from:
- 380 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S246878471730020X
- 381 Daraï E, Cohen J, Ballester M. Colorectal endometriosis and fertility. Eur J Obstet
- 382 Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2016 Jun 9;
- 383 Roman H. Rectal shaving using PlasmaJet in deep endometriosis of the rectum. Fertil 384 Steril. 2013 Nov;100(5):e33.
- 385 Donnez J, Nisolle M, Casanas-Roux F, Bassil S, Anaf V. Rectovaginal septum,
- 386 endometriosis or adenomyosis: laparoscopic management in a series of 231 patients. Hum
- 387 Reprod Oxf Engl. 1995 Mar;10(3):630-5.
- 388 Abo C, Roman H, Bridoux V, Huet E, Tuech J-J, Resch B, et al. Management of deep
- 389 infiltrating endometriosis by laparoscopic route with robotic assistance: 3-year experience. J
- 390 Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris). 2016 Mar 14;
- 391 Roman H, Milles M, Vassilieff M, Resch B, Tuech J-J, Huet E, et al. Long-term
- 392 functional outcomes following colorectal resection versus shaving for rectal endometriosis.
- 393 Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2016 Jul 5;
- 394 Roman H, Abo C, Huet E, Tuech J-J. Deep shaving and transanal disc excision in
- 395 large endometriosis of mid and lower rectum: the Rouen technique. Surg Endosc. 2016
- 396 Jun;30(6):2626–7.
- 397 Roman H, Vassilieff M, Tuech JJ, Huet E, Savoye G, Marpeau L, et al. Postoperative
- 398 digestive function after radical versus conservative surgical philosophy for deep
- 399 endometriosis infiltrating the rectum. Fertil Steril. 2013 May;99(6):1695–704.
- 400 Roman H, Tuech J-J, Arambage K. Deep rectal shaving followed by transanal disc
- 401 excision in large deep endometriosis of the lower rectum. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2014
- 402 Oct;21(5):730-1.
- 403 Roman H, Darwish B, Schmied R, Remorgida V, Tuech J-J. Combined vaginal-
- 404 laparoscopic-transanal approach for reducing bladder dysfunction after conservative surgery
- 405 in large deep rectovaginal endometriosis. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris). 2016
- 406 Jun;45(6):546-8.
- 407 Roman H, Auber M, Mokdad C, Martin C, Diguet A, Marpeau L, et al. Ovarian
- 408 endometrioma ablation using plasma energy versus cystectomy: a step toward better
- 409 preservation of the ovarian parenchyma in women wishing to conceive. Fertil Steril. 2011
- 410 Dec;96(6):1396-400.
- 411 13. Roman H, Moatassim-Drissa S, Marty N, Milles M, Vallée A, Desnyder E, et al.
- 412 Rectal shaving for deep endometriosis infiltrating the rectum: a 5-year continuous
- 413 retrospective series. Fertil Steril. 2016 Aug 23;
- 414 Donnez J, Squifflet J. Complications, pregnancy and recurrence in a prospective series
- 415 of 500 patients operated on by the shaving technique for deep rectovaginal endometriotic
- 416 nodules. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. 2010 Aug;25(8):1949-58.
- 417 Roman H, Opris I, Resch B, Tuech JJ, Sabourin J-C, Marpeau L. Histopathologic
- 418 features of endometriotic rectal nodules and the implications for management by rectal nodule
- 419 excision. Fertil Steril. 2009 Oct;92(4):1250-2.
- 420 Donnez O, Orellana R, Van Kerk O, Dehoux J-P, Donnez J, Dolmans M-M. Invasion
- 421 process of induced deep nodular endometriosis in an experimental baboon model: similarities

- with collective cell migration? Fertil Steril. 2015 Aug;104(2):491–497.e2.
- 423 17. Ferrero S, Ragni N, Remorgida V. Post-operative digestive symptoms after colorectal
- resection for endometriosis. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. 2006 Jul;21(7):1941-1942; author reply
- 425 1942-1943.
- 426 18. Badescu A, Roman H, Aziz M, Puscasiu L, Molnar C, Huet E, et al. Mapping of
- bowel occult microscopic endometriosis implants surrounding deep endometriosis nodules
- 428 infiltrating the bowel. Fertil Steril. 2016 Feb;105(2):430–434.e26.
- 429 19. Roman H, Hennetier C, Darwish B, Badescu A, Csanyi M, Aziz M, et al. Bowel
- occult microscopic endometriosis in resection margins in deep colorectal endometriosis
- specimens has no impact on short-term postoperative outcomes. Fertil Steril. 2016
- 432 Feb;105(2):423–429.e7.
- 433 20. Vercellini P, Crosignani P, Somigliana E, Viganò P, Frattaruolo MP, Fedele L.
- 434 'Waiting for Godot': a commonsense approach to the medical treatment of endometriosis.
- 435 Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. 2011 Jan;26(1):3–13.
- 436 21. Roman H, Darwish B, Bridoux V, Chati R, Kermiche S, Coget J, et al. Functional
- outcomes after disc excision in deep endometriosis of the rectum using transanal staplers:
- a series of 111 consecutive patients. Fertil Steril. 2017 Jan 27;
- 439 22. Roman H, Quibel S, Auber M, Muszynski H, Huet E, Marpeau L, et al. Recurrences
- and fertility after endometrioma ablation in women with and without colorectal
- endometriosis: a prospective cohort study. Hum Reprod Oxf Engl. 2015 Mar;30(3):558–68.
- 23. Roman H, Abo C, Huet E, Bridoux V, Auber M, Oden S, et al. Full-Thickness Disc
- Excision in Deep Endometriotic Nodules of the Rectum: A Prospective Cohort. Dis Colon
- 444 Rectum. 2015 Oct;58(10):957-66.
- 445 24. Mircea O, Puscasiu L, Resch B, Lucas J, Collinet P, von Theobald P, et al. Fertility
- 446 Outcomes After Ablation Using Plasma Energy Versus Cystectomy in Infertile Women With
- Ovarian Endometrioma: A Multicentric Comparative Study. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2016
- 448 Dec;23(7):1138-45.
- 449 25. Seracchioli R, Mabrouk M, Frascà C, Manuzzi L, Montanari G, Keramyda A, et al.
- 450 Long-term cyclic and continuous oral contraceptive therapy and endometrioma recurrence: a
- randomized controlled trial. Fertil Steril. 2010 Jan;93(1):52–6.
- 452 26. Mohr C, Nezhat FR, Nezhat CH, Seidman DS, Nezhat CR. Fertility considerations in
- laparoscopic treatment of infiltrative bowel endometriosis. JSLS. 2005 Mar;9(1):16–24.
- 454 27. Kondo W, Bourdel N, Tamburro S, Cavoli D, Jardon K, Rabischong B, et al.
- 455 Complications after surgery for deeply infiltrating pelvic endometriosis. BJOG Int J Obstet
- 456 Gynaecol. 2011 Feb;118(3):292–8.
- 457 28. Afors K, Centini G, Fernandes R, Murtada R, Zupi E, Akladios C, et al. Segmental
- 458 and Discoid Resection are Preferential to Bowel Shaving for Medium-Term Symptomatic
- Relief in Patients With Bowel Endometriosis. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2016
- 460 Dec;23(7):1123–9.

Table 1. Preoperative data.

PREOPERATIVE DATA	N=110 Mean±SD	(%)
Age	37±6.4	
BMI	24.2 ± 4.7	
Patients with documented preoperative infertility	44	(40.0)
Obstetrical antecedents		
Nulligesta	57	(51.8)
Nullipara	69	(62.7)
Antecedents of gynecological surgery	*	
Previous gynecological laparoscopy	56	(50.9)
Previous gynecological laparotomy	15	(13.6)
Dysmenorrhea	106	(96.4)
Intensity of dysmenorrhea (VAS scale)	8.3±1.5	
Deep dyspareunia	87	(79.1)
Intensity of dyspareunia (VAS scale)	5.7 ± 2.4	
Non-cyclic abdominal pain	84	(76.4)
Intensity of dyspareunia (VAS scale)	6.6 ± 2.3	
Cyclic symptoms associated with dysmenorrhea		
Diarrhea	50	(45.5)
Constipation	41	(37.3)
Rectorrhage	5	(4.5)
Bloating	64	(58.2)
Defecation pain	74	(67.3)
Urinary pain	25	(22.7)
Assessment of digestive function*		
Knowles-Eccersley-Scott-Symptom Questionnaire (KESS)	12±6.9	
Gastrointestinal Quality of Life Index (GIQLI)	87 ± 20.7	
Wexner score	2 ± 2.9	

VAS: 10 point-visual analog scale *Normal values: KESS n.v. <7; GIQLI n.v. >100; Wexner score : 0-20, 0 = no anal

incontinence, 20 = maximum anal incontinence.

Table 2. Intraoperative data

Table 2. Intraoperative data.		
INTRAOPERATIVE DATA	N = 110	(%)
	Mean±SD	
Operative time (min)	122+/-53	
Surgical aproach		
Exclusive laparoscopic route	100	(90.9)
Combined vaginal-laparoscopic	9	(8.2)
Open surgery	0	(0.0)
Robotic assistance	1	(0.9)
AFS-R score	70+/-42	
		K
Rectal nodule diameter		
<=10mm	29	(26.4)
10-29mm	60	(54.5)
>=30mm	21	(19.1)
, 00,,,,,,	65	(1711)
Height of the nodule (cm above the anus)		
5-10cm	69	(62.7)
10-15cm	41	(37.3)
To Teem		(37.3)
Douglas pouch complete obliteration	69	(62.7)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		(= //
Associated endometriosis localizations		
Vagina	52	(47.3)
Bladder	4	(3.6)
Diaphragm	10	(9.1)
Small bowel	2	(1.8)
Appendix	8	(7.3)
Sigmoid colon	12	(10.9)
Signioid Colon	12	(10.5)
Surgical procedures on urinary tract		
Ureterolysis for ureter stenosis	5	(4.5)
Resection of the bladder	3	(2.7)
Uretero-cystostomy	1	(0.9)
Officero-cystostomy	1	(0.9)
Right ovarian adhesiolysis	73	(66.4)
Left ovarian adhesiolysis	81	(73.6)
Right endometrioma managed by plasma ablation	35	(31.8)
		, ,
Left endometrioma managed by plasma ablation	34	(30.9)
Hysterectomy	36	(32.7)
Trysterectomy	30	(32.1)

474 Table 3. Postoperative complications after rectal shaving using plasma energy

Table 3. Postoperative complications after rectal snaving using pl		
POSTOPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS	N=110	(%)
Rectovaginal fistulae, managed by colostoma	1	(0.9)
Rectouterine fistulae, managed by antiobiotics only	1	(0.9)
Bladder atony requiring >= 3 weeks daily catheterization	2	(1.8)
Bladder suture leakage following bladder resection	1	(0.9)
Pelvic hematoma/abscess	5	(4.5)
Clavien Dindo classification		
1	4	(3.6)
2	12	(10.9)
3a	1	(0.9)
3b	5	(4.5)
4a	1*	(0.9)
*Patient with rectovaginal fistula, whose transfer to intensive care	e unit was require	d by
concomitant Steinert disease.		-
XV		
RCC -		

476 477

475

Table 4. Postoperative digestive functional outcomes.

Digestive function	Baseline	1 year	P^1	3 years	P^2
	(N=110)	(N=65)		(N=20)	
KESS	12+/-6.9	9+/-6.5	0.005	10+/-7.0	0.24
GIQLI	87+/-20.7	105+/-21.1	< 0.001	105+/-24.8	< 0.001
Wexner score	2+/-2.9	1+/-1.9	0.014	1+/-2.2	0.14
Lack of ability to defer defecation:			0.89		0.64
< 5 min	28 (25.5%)	15 (23.1%)		4 (20.0%)	
5 to 10 min	30 (27.3%)	19 (29.2%)		4 (20.0%)	
10 to 15 min	9 (8.2%)	4 (6.2%)		3 (15%)	
> 15 min	40 (36.4%)	27 (41.5%)		9 (45%)	

¹Comparison between baseline and 1-year postoperative values; ²Comparison between baseline and 3-year postoperative values. KESS:

Knowles-Eccersley-Scott-Symptom Questionnaire, n.v. <7; GIQLI: Gastrointestinal Quality of Life Index, n.v. >100; Wexner score : range 0-20

(0 = no anal incontinence, 20 = maximum anal incontinence).

482 483 484

Table 5. Fertility outcomes.

FERTILITY OUTCOMES	N=110	(%)
Pregnancy intention	32	29.1
Pregnant	17	53.1
Spontaneous	5	29.4
Assisted reproductive therapy	11	70.6
Insemination	3	25.0
In vitro fertilization	9	75.0

Video. Rectal shaving using plasma energy in deep infiltrating endometriosis of the rectum.