62

63

64 65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

### GYNECOLOGY

# Chronic pelvic pain in an interdisciplinary setting: 1-year prospective cohort

Catherine Allaire, MD; Christina Williams, MD; Sonja Bodmer-Roy, MD; Sean Zhu, MD; Kristina Arion, MD; Kristin Ambacher, MD; Jessica Wu, MD; Ali Yosef, MBBCh, MSc; Fontayne Wong, BSc; Heather Noga, MA; Susannah Britnell, BScHonsPT; Holly Yager, MEd; Mohamed A. Bedaiwy, MD, PhD; Arianne Y. Albert, PhD; Sarka Lisonkova, MD, PhD; Paul J. Yong, MD, PhD

**BACKGROUND:** Chronic pelvic pain affects  $\sim 15\%$  of women, and presents a challenging problem for gynecologists due to its complex etiology involving multiple comorbidities. Thus, an interdisciplinary approach has been proposed for chronic pelvic pain, where these multifactorial comorbidities can be addressed by different interventions at a single integrated center. Moreover, while cross-sectional studies can provide some insight into the association between these comorbidities and chronic pelvic pain severity, prospective longitudinal cohorts can identify comorbidities associated with changes in chronic pelvic pain severity over time. **OBJECTIVE:** We sought to describe trends and factors associated with chronic pelvic pain severity over a 1-year prospective cohort at an interdisciplinary center, with a focus on the role of comorbidities and controlling for baseline pain, demographic factors, and treatment effects.

STUDY DESIGN: This was a prospective 1-year cohort study at an interdisciplinary tertiary referral center for pelvic pain and endometriosis, which provides minimally invasive surgery, medical management, pain education, physiotherapy, and psychological therapies. Exclusion criteria included menopause or age >50 years. Sample size was 296 (57% response rate at 1 year; 296/525). Primary outcome was chronic pelvic pain severity at 1 year on an 11-point numeric rating scale (0-10), which was categorized for ordinal regression (none-mild 0-3, moderate 4-6, severe 7-10). Secondary outcomes included functional guality of life and health utilization. Baseline comorbidities were endometriosis, irritable bowel syndrome, painful bladder syndrome, abdominal wall pain, pelvic

floor myalgia, and validated questionnaires for depression, anxiety, and catastrophizing. Multivariable ordinal regression was used to identify baseline comorbidities associated with the primary outcome at 1 year. **RESULTS:** Chronic pelvic pain severity decreased by a median 2 points from baseline to 1 year (6/10-4/10, P < .001). There was also an improvement in functional quality of life (42-29% on the pain subscale of the Endometriosis Health Profile-30, P < .001), and a reduction in subjects requiring a physician visit (73-36%, P < .001) or emergency visit (24-11%, P < .001) in the last 3 months. On multivariable ordinal regression for the primary outcome, chronic pelvic pain severity at 1 year was independently associated with a higher score on the Pain Catastrophizing Scale at baseline (odds ratio, 1.10; 95% confidence interval, Q3 1.00-1.21, P = .04), controlling for baseline pain, treatment effects (surgery), age, and referral status.

CONCLUSION: Improvements in chronic pelvic pain severity, quality of life, and health care utilization were observed in a 1-year cohort in an interdisciplinary setting. Higher pain catastrophizing at baseline was associated with greater chronic pelvic pain severity at 1 year. Consideration should be given to stratifying pelvic pain patients by catastrophizing level (rumination, magnification, helplessness) in research studies and in clinical practice.

**Key words:** chronic pelvic pain, endometriosis, interdisciplinary, pain catastrophizing, prospective cohort, quality of life

### Introduction

Chronic pelvic pain (CPP) is a common clinical problem present in  $\sim 15\%$  of women worldwide.<sup>1</sup> CPP is defined as pelvic pain >3-6 months that is not solely related to menstruation, sexual activity, or bowel movements.<sup>2</sup> CPP has a complex etiology arising from an interplay of gynecologic, urologic, gastrointestinal, musculoskeletal, and psychosocial comorbidities,<sup>2</sup> with a

9	
0	Cite this article as: Allaire C, Williams C, Bodmer-Roy S, et al. Chronic pelvic pain in an interdisciplinary setting:
1 2 3	1-year prospective cohort. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;volume:x.exx.ex.
5 4	0002-9378/\$36.00

- © 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. 55 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2017.10.002

potential underlying mechanism being sensitization of the nervous system. CPP can persist even after standard gynecologic management and is among the most challenging clinical problems encountered by gynecologists.<sup>4</sup>

Given the multifactorial origins of CPP, a multifaceted care model has been proposed that includes physiotherapy, psychological therapies, and standard gynecologic management.<sup>2,4</sup> This multifaceted care can be multidisciplinary (multiple specialists with independent goals) or interdisciplinary (multiple specialists coordinate to provide a common goal).<sup>5</sup> Several prospective studies have looked at aspects of a multifaceted approach for CPP in women,<sup>6-12</sup> with 1 study finding that catastrophizing was associated with persistent pain at 1 year.<sup>12</sup>

In 2011, the government of British Columbia funded an interdisciplinary center for pelvic pain and endometriosis, integrating gynecologic management (including advanced laparoscopic surgery with excision of endometriosis of all stages) with pain education, pelvic physiotherapy, and psychological approaches to pain management, all integrated at a single center.<sup>4,13</sup> In a previous baseline cross-sectional study, we observed a strong association between CPP severity at baseline and catastrophizing, in addition to associations with other comorbidities (abdominal wall pain, pelvic floor myalgia, painful bladder syndrome [PBS]) and several demographic variables.<sup>14</sup> In contrast, we found no difference in CPP severity between women with and without endometriosis.

MONTH 2017 American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology 1.e1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

012

Q1

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

# Original Research GYNECOLOGY

111 In this study, we report on a 1-year 112 prospective observational cohort at this 113 interdisciplinary center. The first aim 114was to demonstrate the changes in CPP 115 severity, functional quality of life, and 116 health utilization over 1 year. The second 117 aim was to diagnose comorbidities using 118 rigorous criteria (gynecologic, urologic, 119 gastrointestinal, musculoskeletal, and 120 psychological) and to determine 121 whether they were associated with CPP 122 severity at 1 year, adjusting for baseline 123 pain, demographic factors, and treat-124 ment effects. Based on our previous 125 baseline cross-sectional study,<sup>14</sup> we hy-126 pothesized that catastrophizing, 127 abdominal wall pain, pelvic floor 128 myalgia, and PBS may be associated with 129 CPP severity at 1 year. 130

#### 131 132 132 Setting, cohort, and study criteria

133 This prospective cohort is based at the 134 BC Women's Center for Pelvic Pain and 135 Endometriosis, tertiary referral center 136 for British Columbia.<sup>4,13</sup> The center in-137 cludes gynecologists with expertise in 138 management of CPP and with advanced 139 training in minimally invasive surgery 140 (eg, laparoscopic excision of endome-141 triosis). The center also includes a clin-142 ical fellow, a registered nurse, a 143 physiotherapist with special interest in 144pelvic pain, and a clinical counselor with 145 a practice focused on women's repro-146 ductive health. 147

Details of the prospective cohort were 148 previously published in a baseline cross-149 sectional study on CPP (December 2013 150 through April 2015).<sup>14</sup> The cohort was 151 designed to examine variables associated 152 with baseline and prospective measures 153 of pain and quality of life. Subjects gave 154 informed consent for inclusion in the 155 cohort, and the study received institu-156 tional research ethics board approval 157 from the University of British Columbia 158 (H11-02882). 159

For this study of 1-year prospective follow-up, we included new or rereferrals from December 2013 through December 2014. Common reasons for rereferral included recurrent CPP or dysmenorrhea after: (1) previous conservative surgical treatment at the center (eg, secondary to myofascial pain or sensitization); (2) the patient chose to stop hormonal suppression (eg, due to side effects or to try to conceive); or (3) the patient initially declined recommended treatments, but now wished to return to follow the treatment plan. Exclusion criteria were menopausal or age >50 years (since endometriosis is the major diagnosis at our center), or no follow-up visits at the center (to exclude patients who we referred to another provider, eg, those with vulvodynia alone).

### Interventions

Interdisciplinary interventions at the center were previously described.<sup>4</sup> In brief, following discussion with the care providers, patients could choose to undergo minimally invasive surgery (conservative procedures, eg, excision of endometriosis, or hysterectomy  $\pm$ oophorectomy), medical management (hormonal, pain adjuvants, trigger point injections), and/or a pain program (involving a pain education workshop, physiotherapy, and counseling). The pain program was standardized: patients did a group pain workshop, and individual counseling and physiotherapy appointments (typically 2 visits each for counseling and for physiotherapy). Treatments were individualized to each patient. For example, if the pain was primarily nongynecologic, or if patients had persistent pain despite previous surgical or medical management, then they could be offered the pain program. In contrast, patients with focal findings on examination (eg, nodule) could be offered surgery.

For the pain program, the initial pain education workshop involved validation of patients' experiences and discussion of the multifactorial contributors to CPP. Education was also provided on the neurophysiology of pain as an output of the nervous system, such that pain can persist in the central nervous system (sensitization) even after peripheral factors in the tissue (eg, endometriosis) have been addressed.

The physiotherapy component of the pain program involved calm breathing techniques, addressing fear of movement, helpful postural and movement patterns, pacing and grading activity, and exercises to relax identified overactive muscles groups, often including abdominal obliques, rectus abdominis, hip adductors, deep hip rotators, and pelvic floor muscles. Manual therapy to address hip and sacroiliac joint asymmetries was performed as needed, and symmetry and gluteal strengthening exercises were given to those with pelvic girdle—related pain.<sup>15</sup> If needed, dietary, behavioral, and postural modifications for bladder/bowel function were given. Goals for all treatment were function related, with development of a selfmanagement plan.

Counseling in the pain program included mindfulness-based strategies such as meditation, breathing, guided visualization, body scans, and progressive muscle relaxation. Patients were also taught cognitive behavioral therapy strategies whereby they learned how the identification and modification of thoughts and beliefs can affect emotions. Patients were directed to appropriate community resources and community mental health referrals, as required.

It should be noted that in some cases, patients chose to undergo surgery, physiotherapy, or counselling outside the center, for example, due to distance from the center.

### **Data collection**

Data collection was described previously.<sup>14</sup> Prior to the initial consultation, subjects completed an online questionnaire using the Research Electronic Data Capture system. The questionnaire includes ratings of different types of pelvic pain (eg, CPP) on a 0-10 numeric rating scale in the last 3 months using a series of standardized questions.<sup>14</sup> Functional quality of life was also assessed (pain subscale of the Endometriosis Health Profile [EHP]-30 that addresses daily activities),<sup>16</sup> as well as physician visits or emergency room visits in the last 3 months via the questionnaire. Comprehensive data from demographics and history were also collected in the questionnaire, and were supplemented by physical exam findings and review of medical records.

Comorbidities were diagnosed using rigorous criteria from the questionnaire,

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

(continued)

Allaire et al. Chronic pelvic pain cohort. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017.

		1-y Follow-up		
Rasalina variables	Total sample	Followed up $n = 296$	Lost to follow-up	Pyalu
Demographics	II — 525	11 - 230	11 — 229	7 vaiu
Aye, y Moon (SD)	24.2 (±7.6)	25.0 (±7.9)	22 / (±7 2)	02
	34.3 (±1.0)	33.0 (±1.0)	55.4 (±1.5)	.02
No provious birth	202 (62 00/ )	190 (61 00/ )	142 (65.00/)	50
Drovious birth(a)	100 (26 00/)	111 (20 10/)	77 (25 0%)	.52
	100 (30.0%)	111 (30.1%)	77 (35.0%)	
BWI				10
Mean (SD)	25.3 (±5.6)	25.6 (±5.5)	25.0 (±5.7)	.13
Smoking				
No	436 (85.3%)	250 (85.9%)	186 (84.5%)	.71
Yes	75 (14.7%)	41 (14.1%)	34 (15.5%)	
Referral				
New referral	400 (76.2%)	233 (78.7%)	167 (72.9%)	.15
Referral	125 (23.8%)	63 (21.3%)	62 (27.1%)	
Geography				
Metropolitan Vancouver	356 (69.1%)	204 (70.1%)	152 (67.9%)	.63
Outside	159 (30.9%)	87 (29.9%)	72 (32.1%)	
History of sexual assault				
No or no answer	433 (85.7%)	248 (86.1%)	185 (85.3%)	.80
Yes	72 (14.3%)	40 (13.9%)	32 (14.7%)	
Family history of chronic pain				
No or don't know	376 (73.7%)	214 (73.5%)	162 (74.0%)	.92
Yes	134 (26.3%)	77 (26.5%)	57 (26.0%)	
Duration of pain, y				
Median (IQR)	12.0 (5.0-21.0)	13.0 (5.2-21.0)	12.0 (4.0-20.0)	.22
Previous hysterectomy				
No	491 (94.2%)	276 (93.6%)	215 (95.1%)	.57
Yes	30 (5.8%)	19 (6.4%)	11 (4.9%)	
Education				
$\leq$ High school	65 (12.7%)	31 (10.7%)	34 (15.5%)	.39
Some college	127 (24.9%)	71 (24.4%)	56 (25.6%)	
College graduate	210 (41.2%)	124 (42.6%)	86 (39.3%)	
Postgraduate degree	90 (17.6%)	56 (19.2%)	34 (15.5%)	
Other	18 (3.5%)	9 (3.1%)	9 (4.1%)	
Income	. /			
<\$20,000	61 (12.0%)	28 (9.6%)	33 (15.1%)	.24
\$20,000-39.999	92 (18.0%)	55 (18.9%)	37 (16.9%)	<u>·</u>
\$40 000-59 999	80 (15 7%)	40 (13 7%)	/0 (18 3%)	

MONTH 2017 American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology 1.e3

# Original Research **GYNECOLOGY**

ajog.org

		1-y Follow-up		
Baseline variables	Total sample $n = 525$	Followed up $n=296$	Lost to follow-up $n = 229$	<i>P</i> value
\$60,000—79,999	85 (16.7%)	51 (17.5%)	34 (15.5%)	
\$80,000—99,999	72 (14.1%)	42 (14.4%)	30 (13.7%)	
≥\$100,000	120 (23.5%)	75 (25.8%)	45 (20.5%)	
Varital status				
No	283 (55.5%)	155 (53.3%)	128 (58.4%)	.28
Yes	227 (44.5%)	136 (46.7%)	91 (41.6%)	
Comorbidities			)	
Endometriosis				
None	94 (17.9%)	43 (14.5%)	51 (22.3%)	.06
Present	304 (57.9%)	175 (59.1%)	129 (56.3%)	
Suspected	127 (24.2%)	78 (26.4%)	49 (21.4%)	
Stage, for endometriosis present				
-	118 (38.8%)	61 (34.9%)	57 (44.2%)	.24
III—IV	129 (42.4%)	78 (44.6%)	51 (39.5%)	
Unknown	57 (18.8%)	36 (20.5%)	21 (16.3%)	
Abdominal wall pain				
Carnett negative	378 (72.0%)	222 (75.0%)	156 (68.1%)	.10
Carnett positive	147 (28.0%)	74 (25.0%)	73 (31.9%)	
Pelvic floor myalgia				
Nontender	350 (68.8%)	209 (71.8%)	141 (64.7%)	.10
Tender	159 (31.2%)	82 (28.2%)	77 (35.3%)	
rritable bowel syndrome				
No	242 (46.1%)	131 (44.3%)	111 (48.5%)	.38
Yes	283 (53.9%)	165 (55.7%)	118 (51.5%)	
Painful bladder syndrome				
No	303 (57.7%)	170 (57.4%)	133 (58.1%)	.93
Yes	222 (42.3%)	126 (42.6%)	96 (41.9%)	
Depression, PHQ-9				
Median (IQR)	7.0 (3.0–13.0)	7.0 (3.0–12.0)	9.0 (4.0—14.0)	.009
Anxiety, GAD-7				
Median (IQR)	5.0 (2.0-9.0)	4.5 (2.0–9.0)	5.0 (3.0–11.0)	.03
Pain Catastrophizing Scale				
Median (IQR)	16.0 (8.0-30.0)	15.5 (7.0—30.0)	16.0 (8.0-29.0)	.84
Total no. of comorbidities				
Median (IQR) [range]	2 (1-3) [0-6]	2 (1-3)	2 (1-3)	.46
<sup>2</sup> values are from Wilcoxon rank sum tests for co	ontinuous variables and Fisher exact tests for	categorical variables.		

# **GYNECOLOGY** Original Research

Intervention	N	OR <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	<i>P</i> value	Adjusted OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	P
Participation in pain program		1.74	0.98-3.12	.06	1.25	0.65-2.4	.50
No	233						
Yes	51				1		
Surgery at center		0.53	0.33-0.84	.008	0.60	0.36-0.99	.05
No	151						
Yes	133						
Hysterectomy	32	0.36	0.14-0.85	.02	0.52	0.19-1.39	.61
Conservative	101						
Use of pain adjuvant (baseline, follow-up)				.29			.74
None	218	ref	ref		ref	ref	
Started after baseline, and continued to follow-up	15	0.95	0.32-2.65		0.72	0.22-2.18	
Taking at baseline, but discontinued before follow-up	19	1.11	0.47-2.59		0.88	0.33–2.28	
Taking at both baseline and follow-up	30	2.07	0.98-4.45		1.43	0.62-3.32	
Use of hormonal medication (baseline, follow-up)				.71			.57
None	169	ref	ref		ref	ref	
Started after baseline, and continued to follow-up	29	0.84	0.38—1.8		0.57	0.23-1.32	
Taking at baseline, but discontinued before follow-up	48	0.81	0.43—1.52		0.79	0.40-1.52	
Taking at both baseline and follow-up	38	1.29	0.56-2.52		0.97	0.46-3.03	
Trigger point injections <sup>c</sup>		1.92	0.65-6.08	.24	_	_	_
No	269						
Yes	13						
Surgery outside of center		1.07	0.55-2.06	.84	1.13	0.55-2.28	.73
No	243						
Yes	39						
Physiotherapy outside of center		1.19	0.66-2.13	.57	0.87	0.46-1.64	.67
No	232						
Yes	50						
Counseling outside of center		1.58	0.78-3.19	.2	1.41	0.69-2.89	.35
No	248						
Yes	34						

Allaire et al. Chronic pelvic pain cohort. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017.

review of medical records, and/or findings from physical exam.<sup>14</sup> Endometriosis was classified into: present (previous surgical diagnosis or current nodule or endometrioma), clinically suspected (no previous surgery, but suspected based on history and exam tenderness), or absent. A diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) was made using Rome III criteria,<sup>17</sup> and a diagnosis of PBS using criteria of the American Urological Association<sup>18</sup> or International Continence

Ν

~~ ~

Baseline

Follow-up

# Original Research GYNECOLOGY

Outcome variables at baseline and follow-up

TABLE 3

Outcomes

ajog.org

**Pvalue** 

Primary	204			
CPP severity 0–10, median (IQR)		6 (4—8)	4 (0-7)	<.0001
CPP severity, severe 7–10, n [%]		140 [49]	77 [27]	
CPP severity, moderate 4–6, n [%]		77 [27]	65 [23]	
CPP severity, none-mild 0-3, n [%]		68 [24]	142 [50]	<.0001
Secondary				
Quality of life: EHP-30 pain subscale 0–100%, mean (SD) <sup>b</sup>	268	42% (26%)	29% (25%)	<.0001
Quality of life: EHP-30 pain subscale $>$ 59 (75th centile), n [%] <sup>b</sup>	268	90 [34]	41 [15]	<.0001
Any physician visit in previous 3 mo, n [%]	284	206 [73]	102 [36]	<.0001
Any emergency visit in previous 3 mo, n [%]	284	67 [24]	32 [11]	<.0001
Comorbidities				
Irritable bowel syndrome, n [%]	284	160 [56]	110 [39]	<.0001
Painful bladder syndrome, n [%]	284	121 [43]	93 [33]	.002
Depression: PHQ-9, median (IQR) <sup>b</sup>	268	7 (3—13)	4 (1—9)	<.0001
Depression: PHQ-9, $\geq$ 10 (moderate), n [%] <sup>b</sup>	268	88 [33]	64 [24]	.008
Anxiety: GAD-7, median (IQR) <sup>b</sup>	268	5 (2—9)	3 (0—7)	<.0001
Anxiety: GAD-7 $\geq$ 10 (moderate), n [%] <sup>b</sup>	268	63 [24]	38 [14]	.001
Catastrophizing: PCS, median (IQR) <sup>b</sup>	268	16 (8—30)	9 (1—20)	<.0001
Catastrophizing: PCS >30 (75th centile), n [%] <sup>b</sup>	268	59 [22]	31 [12]	.0002

<sup>a</sup> N = 284 subjects informative for CPP severity at baseline and follow-up;<sup>b</sup> N = 268 subjects informative for EHP-30 pain subscale at baseline and follow-up—higher EHP-30 pain subscale indicates lower quality of life (ie, 100% centile indicative of worst quality of life)—N = 268 subjects also informative for PHQ-9, GAD-7, and PCS at baseline and follow-up.

Allaire et al. Chronic pelvic pain cohort. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017.

Society.<sup>19</sup> For musculoskeletal dysfunction, abdominal wall pain (typically due to myofascial trigger points) was diagnosed by a positive Carnett test result, and pelvic floor myalgia diagnosed by tenderness on palpation of the levator ani muscles.<sup>14</sup> Also included were validated questionnaires for depression (Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ]-9),<sup>20</sup> anxiety (Generalized Anxiety Disorder [GAD]-7),<sup>21</sup> and catastrophizing (Pain Catastrophizing Scale [PCS]).<sup>2</sup> Finally, we also included a composite variable summing the total number of comorbidities as defined above and using cutoffs for the psychological scales PHQ-9 >10 (moderate), GAD-7 >10 (moderate), and PCS >30 (75th centile). 

At 1 year, a follow-up online questionnaire was sent to subjects to assess prospective outcomes. Data on interventions during the 1 year were collected from the follow-up online questionnaire, from review of medical records, and from a surgical database at our center with data entered prospectively as per the Endometriosis Phenome and Biobanking Project of the World Endometriosis Research Foundation.<sup>23</sup>

### **Data analyses**

### Comparison of CPP severity between baseline and 1-year follow-up

Primary outcome was CPP severity in the last 3 months (0-10). As previously published, CPP was specifically differentiated from other types of pelvic pain (dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, dyschezia, back pain).<sup>14</sup> The primary outcome was compared between baseline and 1-year follow-up (Wilcoxon signed rank test or McNemar test when CPP severity was categorized into none-mild 0-3, moderate 4-6, and severe 7-10). Secondary outcomes were functional quality of life (EHP-30 pain subscale), and physician visits or emergency room visits in the last 3 months. For the comorbidities, we also tracked the number of subjects meeting criteria for IBS/PBS and the depression (PHQ-9), anxiety (GAD-7), and catastrophizing (PCS) scores over the year.

# Factors associated with CPP severity at 1 year

We performed regression between CPP severity at 1 year and comorbidities, demographic factors, and treatments, controlling for baseline CPP severity due to the risk of regression to the mean in longitudinal observational studies.<sup>24</sup> Ordinal logistic regression was utilized,

691

# **GYNECOLOGY** Original Research



692 with CPP severity at 1 year categorized as none-mild 0-3, moderate 4-6, or severe 693 694 7-10, because assumptions of linear 695 regression modeling were not met (eg, 696 normality of residuals, linearity of the 697 relationship, homoscedasticity) when the 698 raw CPP severity (0-10) was used instead. 699 Ordinal logistic regression produces odds 700 ratios (OR) for an increase in CPP severity 701 category (none-mild, moderate, severe). 702 OR values >1 indicate higher odds of being in a more severe pain category, 703

while OR values <1 indicate lower odds of being in a severe pain category. For example, an OR of 2.0 indicates a 2-fold higher odds of being in the severe CPP category, compared to the moderate/mild categories, and a 2-fold higher odds of being in the severe/moderate CPP categories compared to the mild category.

Each baseline comorbidity (and demographic factor and treatment) significantly associated with CPP severity at 1 year (P < .05) was then entered into a final



multivariable ordinal logistic regression model, again with adjustment for baseline pain. Stepwise modeling was not performed, but all variables were entered simultaneously. P values for overall tests of variable significance were calculated via likelihood ratio tests; 95% confidence interval of the estimates were calculated using likelihood profiling; and the proportional odds assumption was examined for every model. These regression analyses were done using the Vector Generalized Linear and Additive Models package in R. **Q4**  727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

### Statistics

All statistics were performed using software: R v3.3.2 or SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Statistical significance was P < .05. Means were provided  $\pm 1$ SD, and medians were provided with interquartile range. Missing data for demographics and comorbidities were uncommon (0-3%) and were excluded without imputation. For sensitivity analysis, variables were analyzed both as the raw score and with cutoffs to aid in clinical interpretation (eg, EHP-30 >59 [75th centile] and PHQ-9 and GAD-7 >10 [moderate symptoms]).

### Pilot study and sample size

759 We initially conducted a retrospective 760 pilot study (n = 30) of ~1-year out-761 comes at our center. CPP severity (0-10) 762 significantly decreased from baseline to 763 follow-up (8.2  $\pm$  1.4 vs 5.4  $\pm$  3.4, P < 764 .001). Based on these initial findings, we 765 proceeded with this prospective cohort. 766 For the multivariable ordinal regression 767 modeling in the prospective cohort,  $\sim 10$ 768 events for each category of the primary 769 outcome (CPP severity at 1 year: 0-3, 4-6, 770 or 7-10) are needed for each independent 771 variable in the final regression model. In 772 the final regression model, there were 7 773 independent variables (see "Results" 774 section). Thus, for each category of the 775 primary outcome (0-3, 4-6, 7-10), there 776 should be approximately 70 cases (7  $\times$  05 777 10) in each category (Table 3). [T1] 778

#### [T2] 779 Results [T3] 780 Study description 781 In all, 525 patients met the inclusion/ 782 exclusion criteria, of which 296 completed

MONTH 2017 American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology 1.e7

# Original Research GYNECOLOGY

839 840 841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

784 **TABLE 4** 785 786

783

Demographics and comorbidities at baseline associated with chronic pelvic pain severity at 1 year (0-3, 4-6, 7-10)

Baseline variables	Proportional OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	ŀ
Demographics	· · ·	
Age	0.96 (0.93-0.99)	
BMI	1.03 (0.99–1.08)	.(
Family history of chronic pain	0.86 (0.52–1.44)	.7
History of sexual assault	1.98 (1.03–3.81)	
Smokina	0.56 (0.28–1.11)	.5
Rereferral	2.09 (1.22–3.61)	
Geography, outside metropolitan Vancouver	1.05 (0.64–1.71)	3.
Parous	0.79 (0.49-1.26)	.7
Duration of pain	0.98 (0.96-1.01)	.3
Previous hysterectomy	0.7 (0.26-1.78)	.4
Education: $\leq$ high school	reference	.5
Education: some college	0.87 (0.39-1.97)	
Education: college graduate	1.02 (0.47-2.24)	
Education: postgraduate degree	0.44 (0.18–1.09)	
Income: <\$20,000	reference	.8
Income: \$20,000–39,999	0.71 (0.28–1.74)	
Income: \$40,000—59,999	0.53 (0.2-1.38)	
Income: \$60,000–79,999	0.57 (0.22-1.45)	
Income: \$80,000–99,999	0.57 (0.22-1.45)	
Income: ≥\$100,000	0.57 (0.22-1.45)	
Married	0.8 (0.51-1.27)	.7
Comorbidities		
Endometriosis present <sup>b</sup>	0.83 (0.42-1.66)	.1
Endometriosis suspected <sup>b</sup>	1.35 (0.64-2.89)	
Abdominal wall pain	1.83 (1.09-3.08)	.0
Pelvic floor myalgia	1.14 (0.69–1.88)	.6
Irritable bowel syndrome	1.09 (0.69-1.73)	.7
Painful bladder syndrome	1.55 (0.98-2.45)	.0
Depression, PHQ-9	1.02 (0.99-1.33)	.3
Anxiety, GAD-7	1.04 (0.99-1.08)	.2
Pain Catastrophizing Scale	1.02 (1.00-1.04)	.0
Total no. of comorbidities	1.21 (1.03-1.41)	.0

<sup>a</sup> Ordinal regression adjusting for baseline chronic pelvic pain severity, OR values >1 indicate higher odds of being in more severe pain category (0-3 vs 4-6 vs 7-10), while OR values <1 indicate lower odds of being in severe pain category; <sup>b</sup> Compared to endometriosis absent.

Allaire et al. Chronic pelvic pain cohort. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017.

836 837

834

835

838

the 1-year follow-up (57% response rate; 296/525) (Figure 1). Characteristics of [F1] the total sample, with comparison of those who followed up at 1 year and those who were lost to follow-up, are shown in Table 1. The 2 groups were similar in baseline CPP severity and the other variables, except those lost to follow-up were on average 1.6 years younger, had depression scores 2 points higher (PHQ-9; of 27), and had anxiety scores 0.5 points higher (GAD-7; of 21) (Table 1). Median duration of pain was 12 years in the sample. Prevalence of comorbidities at baseline, including endometriosis stage, are shown in Table 1. Interventions during the 1 year

### **Comparison of CPP severity** between baseline and 1-year follow-up

are described in Table 2.

Changes in the primary outcome and secondary outcomes from baseline to 1 year are demonstrated in Table 3. On average, CPP severity (0-10) decreased 2 points from baseline to 1 year (P < .001) (Table 3). When CPP severity was categorized (none-mild 0-3, moderate 4-6, severe 7-10), the proportion of individuals in the severe category decreased from baseline to 1 year (49-27%), while the proportion in the nonemild category increased (24-50%) (P <.001) (Figure 2 and Table 3). [F2]

For the secondary outcomes, there was a significant improvement in functional quality of life (EHP-30 pain subscale), and there was a significant reduction in the number of subjects with a physician or emergency visit in the last 3 months (Table 3). For comorbidities, the proportion of subjects meeting criteria for IBS and PBS, as well as the depression (PHQ-9), anxiety (GAD-7), and catastrophizing (PCS) scores, all decreased at 1 year (Table 3).

### Factors associated with CPP severity at 1 year

To identify factors associated with CPP severity at 1 year, we used ordinal logistic regression with CPP severity at 1 year classified into the 3 categories (nonemild 0-3, moderate 4-6, severe 7-10), while controlling for baseline CPP

### ajog.org

# **GYNECOLOGY** Original Research



930

931

### **FIGURE 3** Proportional odds ratios (OR) for variables in final multivariable regression model for chronic pelvic pain (CPP) severity at 1 year (3 categories: 0-3, 4-6, 7-10)



corresponds to lower odds of being in more severe CPP category at 1 year. All variables are from 928 baseline, except for surgery, which was during 1 year between baseline and follow-up. 929 Allaire et al. Chronic pelvic pain cohort. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017.

932 severity. Two of the comorbidities at 933 baseline had a significant association 934 935<sup>[T4]</sup> with CPP severity at 1 year (Table 4): greater pain catastrophizing (P = .02)936 and abdominal wall pain (ie, positive 937 Carnett test) (P = .02). There was also a 938 significant relationship between the total 939 number of comorbidities and CPP 940 severity at 1 year (P = .02). Among the 941 demographic variables, rereferral (P =942 .008) and history of sexual assault (P =943 .04) were associated with CPP severity at 944 1 year, while older age (P = .006) was 945 associated with less CPP at 1 year 946 (Table 4). In contrast, other de-947 mographic variables such as previous 948 hysterectomy, parity, education, and in-949 come were not associated with CPP 950 severity at 1 year (Table 4). Among the

interventions, surgery at the center was associated with less CPP at 1 year, compared to those who did not undergo surgery (P = .008) (Table 2). There was no difference between hysterectomy and conservative surgery after adjustment for baseline differences between the 2 groups, and no significant associations for the other interventions (Table 2).

The final multivariable regression model contained surgery at the clinic, pain catastrophizing, abdominal wall pain, age, rereferral status, and history of sexual assault, again controlling for baseline pain. Total number of comorbidities was not included in this model as it is confounded with pain catastrophizing and abdominal pain. In the final model, greater baseline pain

catastrophizing remained significantly associated with CPP severity at 1 year <sup>[F3]</sup> 954 (P = .04) (Figure 3 and Table 5). Rereferral (P = .01), older age (P = .02), and surgery (P = .05) also remained signifi- [T5] cantly associated with CPP severity at 1 year, while abdominal wall pain and history of sexual assault did not.

951

952

953

955

956

957

958

959 960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

### Comment

In this prospective observational 1-year cohort at an interdisciplinary center (which includes laparoscopic surgery, medical management, and a pain program that incorporates pain education, physiotherapy, and psychological therapy), we observed improvements in CPP severity, functional quality of life, and health care utilization. Psychological comorbidities also decreased at 1 year, and interestingly, fewer patients met diagnostic criteria for IBS and PBS at 1 year compared to baseline. Moreover, higher pain catastrophizing was the factor at baseline that was associated with CPP severity at 1 year (Figure 3). Other diagnosed comorbidities were not associated, including endometriosis, depression, anxiety, IBS, PBS, and abdominal wall or pelvic floor pain. Other variables associated with CPP severity at 1 year were CPP severity at baseline, younger age, and rereferral status, while surgery at the center was associated with less CPP at 1 year (Figure 3).

Strengths of the study include its prospective nature, and its sample size (296 responders) and response rate (57%) that are comparable to other prospective observational cohorts for CPP in women (58-370 responders and response rates of 37.5-67.5%).<sup>9-12</sup> Other strengths are the use of rigorous criteria for diagnosis of comorbidities, including the use of validated questionnaires, published diagnostic criteria, and physical exam findings. The main limitation is the nonrandomized design. Furthermore, patients lost to follow-up were slightly younger and had more depression and anxiety symptoms, which means that improvements observed in the 1-year follow-up cohort may have been overestimated. Also, while the results may be similar for other tertiary

*P*value

.04

.12

<.0001

.02

.01

.19

.05

Proportional OR (95% CI)<sup>a</sup>

1.10 (1.00-1.21)

1.54(0.89 - 2.66)

1.19 (1.09-1.31)

0.70 (0.51-0.95)

2.15 (1.20-3.89)

1.58 (0.79-3.17)

0.60(0.36 - 0.99)

# Original Research GYNECOLOGY

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1009 Multivariable ordinal regression model for chronic pelvic pain severity at 1 1010 vear (0-3, 4-6, 7-10) 1011 Independent variables 1012 **Baseline comorbidities** 1013 1014 Pain Catastrophizing Scale<sup>b</sup> 1015 Abdominal wall pain 1016 Baseline CPP severity 1017 1018 CPP severity, 0-10 1019 **Baseline demographics** 1020 Age<sup>c</sup> 1021 Rereferral 1022 1023 History of sexual assault 1024 Treatment effects 1025 Surgery at center 1026 1027 Cl, confidence interval; CPP, chronic pelvic pain; OR, odds ratio. <sup>a</sup> Ordinal regression, OR values >1 indicate higher odds of being in more severe pain category (0-3 vs 4-6 vs 7-10), while 1028 OR values <1 indicate lower odds of being in severe pain category; <sup>b</sup> 5-Point increments; <sup>c</sup> 10-Year increments. 1029 Allaire et al. Chronic pelvic pain cohort. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017. 1030 1031

**TABLE 5** 

1007

1008

1032 referral centers, they may not be gener-1033 alizable to community settings or to CPP 1034 cohorts with lower rates of endometri-1035 osis (>50% in our cohort). Another 1036 limitation is that outcomes were self-1037 reported symptoms; physical examina-1038 tion (eg, Carnett test or pelvic floor 1039 assessment) was not repeated at 1040 follow-up. 1041

The setting of this cohort was an in-1042 tegrated, interdisciplinary center for 1043 pelvic pain. Among the interdisciplinary 1044 treatment components, laparoscopic 1045 surgery at the center was associated with 1046 less CPP at 1 year compared to having no 1047 surgery (Figure 2). However, since 1048 treatments were nonrandomized and 1049 chosen by patient/clinician preference, 1050 this could be accounted for by differ-1051 ences between patients undergoing sur-1052 gery and those who did not. Thus, 1053 caution is recommended and further 1054 research is needed into the role of 1055 minimally invasive surgery in patients 1056 with CPP, with follow-up >1-year 1057 period in this study. On the other 1058 hand, certain treatments were uncom-1059 mon (eg, trigger point injections), while 1060 others would be expected to be hetero-1061 geneous (eg, physiotherapy or coun-1062 seling outside the center), which limits

our ability to determine associations with outcome for these interventions.

Several decades ago, a randomized trial was published that showed decreased pain with an integrated approach compared to standard gynecologic surgical/medical treatment,<sup>6</sup> although there have been changes in gynecologic treatments since then (particularly in laparoscopic surgery). Another randomized trial showed benefit for somatocognitive therapy combined with nonsurgical gynecologic management, compared to nonsurgical management gynecologic alone.7 Recently, a randomized trial involving psychotherapy and somatosensory stimulation showed pain reductions compared to wait-list control,<sup>8</sup> although standard gynecologic care was not part of the study design. Although these trials provide evidence for a multifaceted approach, they did not incorporate modern minimally invasive surgery into their treatment or control arms, nor did they include assessments of catastrophizing or health care utilization.

On multivariable regression, rereferral status remained significantly associated with more persistent CPP. It may be that these rereferrals had more central sensitization, which was not measured by or was independent of, at least in part, abdominal wall pain (Carnett test) and catastrophizing. In future work, quantitative sensory testing could be performed to determine whether such rereferrals have more central sensitization as hypothesized.

The magnitudes of the changes in outcomes observed over the 1 year were clinically significant. Subjects described a median 2-point decrease in CPP severity (0-10 scale) and a 13% increase in functional quality of life (EHP-30 pain subscale) (Table 3), with a minimal clinically significant difference of 2/10 on the pain numeric rating scale<sup>25</sup> and between 11.5-24.8% on the EHP-30 pain subscale.<sup>26,27</sup> Notably, there was a 37% and 13% absolute percentage decrease in the number of subjects who had a physician visit and emergency visit in the last 3 months (Table 3). There was also a 17% and 10% absolute percentage decrease in the number of individuals meeting diagnostic criteria for IBS and PBS (Table 3), which may be evidence of the plasticity underlying viscerovisceral convergence in nervous system sensitization.<sup>28</sup> These observations were noteworthy given the morbidity of the sample: median duration of pain of 12 years, prevalent comorbidities, and failed management in the community requiring referral to our tertiary center.

Pain catastrophizing is characterized by rumination, magnification, and helplessness.<sup>22</sup> Our finding that baseline pain catastrophizing, controlling for baseline pain severity, was associated with CPP severity at 1 year provides additional evidence for the importance of this psychological factor in women with pelvic pain. Martin et al<sup>12</sup> also found baseline catastrophizing to be associated with pain measured by the short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire in a 1-year prospective study (b = 0.18, P = .04). In retrospective studies, Carey et al<sup>29</sup> found that pain catastrophizing at follow-up was associated with persistent pain after endometriosis surgery (b = 0.66, P = .01), while Weijenborg et al<sup>30</sup> found that a reduction in catastrophizing was associated with an increase in pain control (r = -0.388, P < .01).

### ajog.org

# **GYNECOLOGY** Original Research

1119 Catastrophizing may influence 1-year 1120 outcomes by ongoing rumination on 1121 pain symptoms, which may negatively 1122 affect the pain education provided dur-1123 ing physician visits or during the formal 1124 pain education workshop. Also, even if 1125 treatment improves CPP, catastrophiz-1126 ing patients may still magnify pain 1127 symptoms, thereby resulting in less 1128 improvement in patient-reported CPP 1129 severity scores. Helplessness associated 1130 with catastrophizing may also antago-1131 nize treatment effects: if a patient be-1132 lieves that no treatment will help, then 1133 the patient may have less confidence in 1134 efficacy even before the treatment has 1135 been initiated. We recommend that 1136 mental health assessment in women with 1137 CPP include catastrophizing in addition 1138 to depression and anxiety. Patients with 1139 high catastrophizing may be more likely 1140 to be treatment resistant, even in an 1141 interdisciplinary setting. Consideration 1142 should be given to phenotyping or 1143 stratifying pelvic pain patients by cata-1144 strophizing level in future research and 1145 in clinical practice. This study suggests 1146 that psychological treatment of cata-1147 strophizing should be considered as part 1148 of the management of CPP, in addition 1149 to treatments that directly reduce pain 1150 (eg, surgical or hormonal). Such treat-1151 ments could include cognitive behav-1152 ioral therapy designed to address 1153 catastrophizing,<sup>31</sup> mindfulness-based 1154 stress reduction,<sup>31</sup> or strategies to 1155 improve sleep.<sup>32</sup> A future clinical trial 1156 could examine the synergy between 1157 treatments targeted to catastrophizing 1158 and those targeted to the pain itself, to 1159 determine whether they have an additive 1160 multiplicative effect on pain or 1161 outcomes. 1162 1163

# 1164 References

1165
1166
1166
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167
1167</l

- 1168 2. Jarrell JF, Vilos GA, Allaire C, et al. Consensus
- 1169 guidelines for the management of chronic pelvic
- pain. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2005;27:781-826.
- **3.** Kaya S, Hermans L, Willems T, Roussel N, Meeus M, Central sensitization in urogyneco-
- Meeus M. Central sensitization in urogynecological chronic pelvic pain: a systematic literature
- 1172 roviow Dain Dhysician 001010-16-001 000
- 1173 review. Pain Physician 2013;16:291-308.
- 1174 **4.** Allaire C, Aksoy T, Bedaiwy M, et al. An interdisciplinary approach to endometriosis-

associated persistent pelvic pain. J Endo Pelvic Pain Disord 2017.

**5.** Stanos S, Houle TT. Multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary management of chronic pain. Phys Med Rehabil Clin North Am 2006;17: 435-50, vii.

**6.** Peters AA, van Dorst E, Jellis B, van Zuuren E, Hermans J, Trimbos JB. A randomized clinical trial to compare two different approaches in women with chronic pelvic pain. Obstet Gynecol 1991;77:740-4.

7. Haugstad GK, Haugstad TS, Kirste UM, Leganger S, Klemmetsen I, Malt UF. Mensendieck somatocognitive therapy as treatment approach to chronic pelvic pain: results of a randomized controlled intervention study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006;194:1303-10.

**8.** Meissner K, Schweizer-Arau A, Limmer A, et al. Psychotherapy with somatosensory stimulation for endometriosis-associated pain: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol 2016;128:1134-42.

 9. Ferreira Gurian MB, Poli Neto OB, Rosa e Silva JC, Nogueira AA, Candido dos Reis FJ. Reduction of pain sensitivity is associated with the response to treatment in women with chronic pelvic pain. Pain Med 2015;16:849-54.
 10. Weijenborg PT, Greeven A, Dekker FW, Peters AA, Ter Kuile MM. Clinical course of chronic pelvic pain in women. Pain 2007;132(Suppl):S117-23.

**11.** Lamvu G, Williams R, Zolnoun D, et al. Longterm outcomes after surgical and nonsurgical management of chronic pelvic pain: one year after evaluation in a pelvic pain specialty clinic. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006;195:591-8.

**12.** Martin CE, Johnson E, Wechter ME, Leserman J, Zolnoun DA. Catastrophizing: a predictor of persistent pain among women with endometriosis at 1 year. Hum Reprod 2011;26: 3078-84.

**13.** Yong PJ, Williams C, Houlihan E, et al. Development of a center for interdisciplinary care of patients with pelvic pain and endometriosis. BC Med J 2013;55:244-7.

**14.** Yosef A, Allaire C, Wiliams C, et al. Multifactorial contributors to the severity of chronic pelvic pain in women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;215:760.e1-14.

**15.** Rost CC, Jacqueline J, Kaiser A, Verhagen AP, Koes BW. Prognosis of women with pelvic pain during pregnancy: a long-term follow-up study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2006;85:771-7.

**16.** Jones G, Kennedy S, Barnard A, Wong J, Jenkinson C. Development of an endometriosis quality-of-life instrument: the Endometriosis Health Profile-30. Obstet Gynecol 2001;98: 258-64.

**17.** Longstreth GF, Thompson WG, Chey WD, Houghton LA, Mearin F, Spiller RC. Functional bowel disorders. Gastroenterology 2006;130: 1480-91.

**18.** Hanno PM, Burks DA, Clemens JQ, et al. AUA guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome. J Urol 2011;185:2162-70.

1175 19. Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, et al. The 1176 standardization of terminology of lower urinary 1177 tract function: report from the standardization 1178 subcommittee of the International Continence Society. Neurourol Urodyn 2002;21:167-78. 1179 20. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB. Valida-1180 tion and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-1181 MD: the PHQ primary care study. Primary care 1182 evaluation of mental disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire. JAMA 1999;282:1737-44. 1183 21. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Lowe B. 1184 A brief measure for assessing generalized anxi-1185 ety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med 1186 2006;166:1092-7. 1187 22. Sullivan MJL BS, Pivik J. The Pain Cata-1188 strophizing Scale: development and validation. Q7 Psychol Assess 1995;7:524-32. 1189 23. Becker CM, Laufer MR, Stratton P, et al. 1190 World Endometriosis Research Foundation 1191 endometriosis phenome and biobanking 1192 harmonization project, I: surgical phenotype 1193 data collection in endometriosis research. Fertil Steril 2014;102:1213-22. 1194 24. Barnett AG, van der Pols JC, Dobson AJ. 1195 Regression to the mean: what it is and how to 1196 deal with it. Int J Epidemiol 2005;34:215-20. 1197 25. Farrar JT, Young JP Jr, LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole RM. Clinical importance of 1198 changes in chronic pain intensity measured on 1199 an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. Pain 1200 2001:94:149-58 1201 26. van de Burgt TJ, Kluivers KB, Hendriks JC. 1202 Responsiveness of the Dutch Endometriosis Health Profile-30 (EHP-30) questionnaire. Eur J 1203 Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2013;168:92-4. 1204 27. Jones G, Jenkinson C, Kennedy S. Evalu-1205 ating the responsiveness of the Endometriosis 1206 Health Profile Questionnaire: the EHP-30. Qual 1207 Life Res 2004;13:705-13. 1208 28. Malykhina AP. Neural mechanisms of pelvic cross-sensitization. organ Neuroscience 1209 2007;149:660-72. 1210 29. Carey ET, Martin CE, Siedhoff MT, Bair ED, 1211 As-Sanie S. Biopsychosocial correlates of persis-1212 tent postsurgical pain in women with endometri- Q6 1213 osis. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2014;124:169-73. 30. Weijenborg PT, Ter Kuile MM, Gopie JP, 1214 Spinhoven P. Predictors of outcome in a cohort 1215 of women with chronic pelvic pain-a follow-up 1216 study. Eur J Pain 2009;13:769-75. 1217 31. Turner JA, Anderson ML, Balderson BH, Cook AJ, Sherman KJ, Cherkin DC. Mindfulness 1218 based stress reduction and cognitive behavioral 1219 therapy for chronic low back pain: similar effects 1220 on mindfulness, catastrophizing, self-efficacy, 1221 and acceptance in a randomized controlled 1222 trial. Pain 2016;157:2434-44. 32. Lerman SF, Finan PH, Smith MT, 1223

Haythornthwaite JA. Psychological interventions that target sleep reduce catastrophizing in knee osteoarthritis. Pain 2017. Q8

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

### Author and article information

From the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, <sup>Q2</sup> University of British Columbia (Drs Allaire, Williams, Bodmer-Roy, Yosef, Bedaiwy, Lisonkova, and Yong), BC

# Original Research GYNECOLOGY

the report; or the decision to submit the report for

affiliations with Abbvie and Allergan.

Disclosure: Drs Allaire and Bedaiwy have industry

Presented in oral format at the 13th World Congress of

Corresponding author: Paul J. Yong, MD, PhD. paul.

Endometriosis, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada,

publication.

May 17-20, 2017.

yong@vch.ca

Women's Center for Pelvic Pain and Endometriosis (Drs This work was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Allaire, Williams, Bodmer-Roy, Zhu, Arion, Ambacher, Health Research (IHD-137431 and MOP-142273), the Yosef, Bedaiwy, and Yong, Ms Wong, Ms Noga, Ms BC Women's Hospital and Health Center Foundation, and Britnell, and Ms Yager), and Women's Health Research the Women's Health Research Institute. Dr Yong was also Institute (Drs Allaire, Wu, Bedaiwy, Albert, and Yong, supported by an investigator award from the VGH and Ms Wong, and Ms Noga); Vancouver, British Columbia, UBC Hospital Foundation (Mentored Clinician Scientist Canada; and Assuit University, Assuit, Egypt (Dr Yosef). Award from the Vancouver Coastal Health Research Received July 28, 2017; revised Sept. 28, 2017; Institute). The sponsors had no role in the study design; accepted Oct. 1, 2017. collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of 

**1.e12** American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MONTH 2017